UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,430	07/19/2001	Michael Choi	FMC 1305 R	1498
	90 03/12/200 LL MCCOY RUSSEI	EXAMINER		
806 SW BROAD	WAY	HUYNH, HAI H		
SUITE 600 PORTLAND, OR 97205-3335			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		3747		
·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<u> </u>	λή?			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	UV — —			
Office Action Summary		09/909,430	CHOI, MICHAEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hai H. Huynh	3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	oril 2006.					
·		action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 21-42 and 44-89 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42 and 44-89</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
اسا (۵	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachmen							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Infon) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-27-04</u> . 6) Uther:							

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 21-42, 44-89 are in the case.

Claims 1-20, 43 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 21-42, 44-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Czadzeck (5,572,979) in view of Pyotsia et al (4,691,894).

Czadzeck teaches an intake system for controlling air-flow into an internal combustion engine except for an air diffuser positioned downstream of the throttle valve. Pyotsia et al teach an air diffuser (9-12) extending into the main bore and being positioned downstream of the throttle valve 2 (see figures 6-7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the air diffuser on the intake system of Cradzeck as taught by Pyotsia et al in order to reduce noise in the intake system.

2. Claims 21-42, 44-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Czadzeck (5,572,979) in view of Lassiter (3,238,955).

Czadzeck teaches an intake system for controlling air-flow into an internal combustion engine except for an air diffuser positioned downstream of the throttle valve. Lassiter teaches an air diffuser (28-29) extending into the main bore and being positioned downstream of the throttle valve 14 (see figures 1-2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the air diffuser on the intake system of Cradzeck as taught by Lassiter in order to reduce noise in the intake system.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-42, 44-89 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai H. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,430

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hai H. Huynh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747